

# Pd-Catalyzed Isocyanide Assisted Reductive Cyclization of 1-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-propargyl Alcohols for 2-Alkyl/Benzyl Benzofurans and Their Useful Oxidative Derivatization

Manda Rajesh,<sup>†</sup> Nuligonda Thirupathi,<sup>†</sup> Thota Jagadeshwar Reddy,<sup>‡</sup> Sanjeev Kanojiya,<sup>‡</sup> and Maddi Sridhar Reddy\*,†,\$

# Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: An unusual Pd-catalyzed isocyanide assisted 5exo-dig reductive cyclization of 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-propargyl alcohols is achieved for 2-alkyl/benzyl benzofurans. The reaction features a high substrate scope, insensitivity to air, and excellent product yielding. Further, a direct metal-free C-H functionalization (azidation, alkoxylation, and hydroxylation) and selective oxidative cleavage of thus synthesized 2benzylfurans are described for azido-, alkoxy-, hydroxyl-, amide-, and tetrazolyl adducts.

### ■ INTRODUCTION

Electrophilic cyclization of alkynes with a nucleophile tether is an increasingly versatile strategy for the synthesis of a large variety of hetero- and carbocycles. Among structurally diverse substrates used in the strategy, the propargyl alcohols or their derivatives with a nucleophile tether are very frequently used precursors which offer interesting postcyclization transformations, viz. elimination, migration, oxidation, etc. On the other hand, the concept of isocyanide insertion between a carbonpalladium bond has paved a useful pathway for the construction of a variety of otherwise difficult C-C bonds.<sup>2</sup> As part of our ongoing program of uncovering the new activities of activated alkynes,<sup>3</sup> we recently reported a tandem oxy-palladation/ isocyanide insertion strategy for the conversion of hydroxyphenyl terminal propargyl alcohols to benzofuranyl acetamides (Scheme 1).3c Subsequently, we discovered a rare isocyanide assisted reductive cyclization of hydroxyphenyl internal propargyl alcohols to 2-alkyl/benzyl benzofurans, the privileged scaffolds found in numerous biologically and medicinally active molecules. Unlike in most cases reported, we found the insertion of isocyanide between the oxygen-palladium bond in preference to carbon-palladium perhaps due to steric constraints. The 2-alkyl/benzyl-3-unsubstituted benzofurans we synthesized here are identified as excellent precursors for diverse selective functionalizations at both benzylic and C-3 positions via selective metal-free C-H activations and benzofuranyl methyl-phenyl bond cleavage.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We initiated our studies with the optimization of the conversion of 1aa to 2aa, as shown in Table 1. A screen of several Pd(II) catalysts along with Na2CO3 as base in acetonitrile revealed that Pd(OAc)2 as the best choice. However, no reaction occurred in the absence of Pd catalyst. The change of base to Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> cleanly furnished the product in 85% yield. Organic bases like TEA, DIPEA, and DBU were found to be poor promoters. Solvents other than acetonitrile were not suitable for the transformation.

Although it was evident that there was not any reducing agent, which was essential for the reduction part of the reaction, other than isocyanide was used, a control experiment excluding t-BuNC was conducted to get insight into the mechanism. The experiment yielded the simple 5-exo-dig cyclization product 3,44 demonstrating that the isocyanide was necessary for reductive elimination of the allylic hydroxyl group (Scheme 2). However, when 3 was subjected to the standard conditions, no reaction occurred but a slow decomposition. This indicates that the intermediate A with a C-Pd bond intact was necessary to further proceed to the product via formation of oxapallada cycle B by the isocycanide insertion between palladium and oxygen. B then probably expelled t-BuNCO, followed by PdX2, to furnish final product 2aa via C and D though addition elimination processes.

Received: September 21, 2015 Published: November 24, 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Medicinal & Process Chemistry Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, BS-10/1, Sector 10, Jankipuram extension, Sitapur Road, Lucknow 226031, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>SAIF Division, CSIR-CDRI, Lucknow 226031, India

<sup>§</sup>Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, New Delhi 110001, India

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Scheme 1. Benzofurans via Cyclization of Hydroxyphenyl Propargyl Alcohols

Table 1. Optimization Studies<sup>a</sup>

entry	catalyst	base	solvent	yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	$PdCl_2$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	28
2	$Pdl_2$	$Na_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	15
3	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	$Na_2CO_3$	$CH_3CN$	21
4	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$Na_2CO_3$	$CH_3CN$	60
5	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$K_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	68
6	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$Cs_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	85
7		$Cs_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	
8	$Pd(OAc)_2$	TEA	$CH_3CN$	10
9	$Pd(OAc)_2$	DIPEA	$CH_3CN$	15
10	$Pd(OAc)_2$	DBU	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	
11	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$Cs_2CO_3$	DMSO	30
12	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$Cs_2CO_3$	1,4-dioxane	25
13	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$Cs_2CO_3$	DCE	40
14	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$Cs_2CO_3$	toluene	35

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: t-BuNC (1.2 mmol), 1a (1.0 mmol), base (1.2 mmol), cat (0.05 mmol), solvent (4 mL), open air. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

With optimal reaction conditions in hand, we studied the scope of the tandem cyclization/reductive elimination of a

variety of salicylaldehyde derived propargyl alcohols (Table 2). Substrates bearing alkyl groups (methyl or t-butyl) showed similar reactivity to 1aa to produce 2ab-ad in excellent yields (79–87%). Halo groups were found to be well compatible with the reaction as in case of the synthesis of 2af-aj where monohalo precursors showed better reactivity than their dihalo counterparts. Irrespective of the position of the alkoxy group (ortho-, meta-, or para-), all the electron-rich substrates 1akam showed uniformly the excellent reactivity (2ak-am in 83-90% yields). In case of substrate 1an, the product was obtained with the concomitant transformation of the unprotected hydroxyl group to carbamate (2an). This resulted via the phenoxyl addition to the isocyanate, the oxidized byproduct of isocyanide. This stands as a proof for the isocyanide assisted reductive elimination of the propargylic hydroxyl group. Next, electron-deficient substrate 1ao showed moderate reactivity to produce 2ao in 59% yield. Finally, the cyclization/reductive elimination cascade of substrates lap-au, possessing arylsubstitution/fusion, occurred uneventfully, affording products 2ap-au in good to excellent yields.

Next, the substrate scope with respect to substitution on the alkyne terminal was studied (Table 3). Aryl acetylene based substrates were initially screened. Thus, alkyl substituted aryl adducts **2ba—da** were obtained in excellent yields (83–86%). Electron-rich precursors (**1ea—ga**) were relatively highly productive compared to halogenated substrates (**1ha—ia**). 2-

Scheme 2. Mechanistic Evaluation

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Table 2. Reductive Cyclization of Hydroxyphenyl Propargyl Alcohols 1aa-au

"Reaction conditions: t-BuNC (1.2 mmol), 1 (1.0 mmol), base (1.2 mmol), Pd(OAc)2 (0.05 mmol), MeCN (4 mL), open air.

Table 3. Reductive Cyclization of Hydroxyphenyl Propargyl Alcohols 1ba-oa<sup>a</sup>

"Reaction conditions: t-BuNC (1.2 mmol), 1 (1.0 mmol), base (1.2 mmol), Pd(OAc), (0.05 mmol), MeCN (4 mL), open air.

Thiophenyl substrate 1ja also furnished the corresponding product 2ja in 82% yield. Interestingly, alkyl acetylene based propargyl alcohols (1ka-oa) were found to be equally reactive (75–85%) in the cyclization/reductive elimination sequence.

Setting a limitation, the reaction was not applicable for 3°-propargyl alcohols (for the synthesis of 2,3-disubstituted benzofurans), perhaps due to steric hindrance from the both terminals of the reactive center. Also, all the efforts to expand the reaction scope for the synthesis of indole- and benzothiophene-derivatives failed to yield the desired products.

We next aimed at the derivatization of the 2-alkylbenzofurans as they seemed interesting with a nucleophilic C3 center and a benzylic system as radical/carbocation base. We first targeted the oxidative functionalization of the benzylic position of 2. We envisioned that DDQ can oxidize the benzofuranyl benzylic position, and the resultant radical species/carbocation can be

trapped by various nucleophiles. Thus, 2aa was treated with 2 equiv of DDQ in AcOH with TMSN3 as azide source (nucleophile) to trap the incipient electrophile. As expected, azide adduct 4a was cleanly obtained in 86% yield (Table 4). After a thorough literature search, we found that there is no such precedent for direct metal-free C-H azidation in the literature. Interestingly, even in case of metal-catalyzed direct C-H azidation, there are only two reports which appeared most recently, one of which was specific for tertiary  $C(sp^3)$ -H azidation via iodosobenzene assisted Fe(II) catalysis<sup>6a</sup> and the other was nonspecific with tertiary  $C(sp^3)$ -H and benzylic C-H azidation via Mn(II) catalysis. 6b Having observed the dearth of literature on this useful transformation, we wanted to verify the generality of our new finding of DDQ assisted direct C-H azidation of 2-benzyl benzofurans. Pleasingly, various benzyl benzofurans, irrespective of the electronic nature of both The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Table 4. Metal-Free Selective  $C(sp^3)$ -H Azidation of  $2^a$ 

 $^a\mathrm{Reaction}$  conditions: 2 (1.0 mmol), TMSN3 (1.5 mmol), DDQ (2 mmol), AcOH (4 mL), rt, 1–2 h.

benzyl- and benzofuran groups, could be directly azidated in good to excellent yields (75–86%).

We next turned to extend the method for direct  $C(sp^3)$ -H alkoxylation by substituting trapping agent TMSN<sub>3</sub> by an alcohol. When **2aa** was treated with 2 equiv of DDQ in  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  (1:1), the desired methoxy adduct **5a** was cleanly obtained in 70% yield (Table 5). Such a DDQ-mediated

Table 5. Oxidative Dehydrogenative Coupling of Alcohols with 2aa<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **2aa** (1.0 mmol), ROH/CH $_2$ Cl $_2$  (1:1, 4 mL), DDQ (2 mmol), rt, 8–20 h.

alkoxylation was earlier reported by Bao et al., but it was specifically on aryl allyl benzenes. Surprisingly, no direct alkoxylation of diarylmethanes is pursued in the literature, although a very few donating group assisted metal-catalyzed methods are reported. Hence, we decided to verify the generality of this direct alkoxylation of benzylbenzofurans. Similar to MeOH, other *n*-alcohols like EtOH, *n*-PrOH, and *n*-BuOH reacted smoothly (5b-d in 71-74%), whereas hindered alcohols *i*-PrOH and *t*-BuOH showed no reactivity. Notably, less nucleophilic phenol also smoothly reacted to give 5e in 59% yield. Finally, propargyl alcohol was successfully used in this oxidative coupling to obtain 5f in 61%.

Further, we treated 2aa with Ac<sub>2</sub>O/AcOH (1:1) to get an acetoxy derivative in line with the above oxidative couplings. However, it revealed the hydrolyzed (deacylated) adduct 6 in 71% yield (Scheme 3). When treated with DDQ in AcOH in the absence of any trapping nucleophile, 2aa underwent an oxidative dehydrogenative dimerization to afford 7 in 82% yield. It appears that electron-rich C-3 of 2aa acted as a trapping nucleophile in the forcible conditions. When subjected to 3 equiv of MnO<sub>2</sub> in refluxing DCE, 2aa produced the

Scheme 3. Selective Oxidative Functionalizations of 2

benzoyl benzofuran in 74% yield. Moving on to selective functionalization of C-3 of 2, we treated 2aa with NBS in refluxing CCl<sub>4</sub>. 3-Bromo adduct 9 was isolated as a sole product in 68% yield.

Finally, we attempted to uncover any difference in migratory aptitudes between phenyl and  $\alpha$ -benzofuranyl groups of 2 in tandem oxidative cleavage/migration for the synthesis of tetrazole and amide adducts under known conditions. The reports were only on symmetrical diaryl methanes; perhaps the unsymmetrical substrates were thought to yield a mixture of adducts because of similar migratory aptitudes of both aryl groups. Pleasingly, when subjected to a Cu(I)-catalyzed azidation—migration—triazolation cascade, and 2ea gave the single regioisomers in 83% and 55% yields, respectively, indicating that the  $\alpha$ -benzofuranyl group has a relatively highly less migratory aptitude (Scheme 4). Similarly, Fe(II)-catalyzed

Scheme 4. Derivatization of 2 via Selective Oxidative Cleavages

DDQ-mediated amidative cleavage<sup>9b</sup> of **2ea** and **2ga** led to *N*-aryl benzofuranamides **11b**–**c** with complete selectivity, whereas **2aa** underwent a mere oxidation probably via competitive hydrolysis of the imine intermediate.

# CONCLUSION

In summary, we demonstrated an efficient conversion of 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl) propargyl alcohols to 2-alkyl/benzyl benzofurans via Pd-catalyzed 5-exo-dig cyclization, followed by a rare

isocyanide assisted reductive elimination of allyl alcohol. The reaction, while providing a high substrate scope, neither required an inert atmosphere nor needed an elevated temperature. Further, a variety of useful transformations of the products are achieved via unassisted/direct C—H azidation/hydroxylation/alkoxylation and selective oxidative cleavage of the benzofuranylmethyl—phenyl bond.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without purification. NMR spectra were recorded with a 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer for <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 50, 100, or 125 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. Chemical shifts are reported relative to the residual signals of tetramethylsilane in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or deuterated solvent CDCl<sub>3</sub> for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. Multiplicities are reported as follows: singlet (s), doublet (d), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of triplets (dt), triplet (t), quartet (q), multiplet (m). HRMS was recorded by using DART and Orbitrap mass spectrometers. Column chromatography was performed with silica gel (100–200 mesh) as the stationary phase. All reactions were monitored by using TLC. The purity and characterization of compounds were further established by using HRMS.

Starting Materials 1 Were Prepared in One Step Following the Literature Procedures. 10 General Procedure A for the Synthesis of 2-Benzyl Benzofurans (2aa-2oa) from 2-(1-Hydroxy-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl) Phenols (1aa-1oa) Taking Synthesis of 2aa as an Example. To a stirred solution of 2-(1-hydroxy-3phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl) phenol 1aa (224 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv) in 4 mL of CH<sub>3</sub>CN were added Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (11.20 mg, 0.05 mmol, 0.05 equiv), Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (390 mg, 1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and tert-butyl isocyanide (99.6 mg, 1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until complete conversion of starting material, monitored by TLC (4 h for 2aa-2ae, 2ak-2am; 12 h for 2af, 2ag, 2ao, 2ha-2ia; 6 h for 2ba-2ga, 2ja; 10 h for 2ap-2au, 2an; 15 h for 2ka-2oa; 18 h for 2ah-2aj). The reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2 × 20 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (15 mL) and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO4. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified on silica using hexane (2-5% EtOAc/ hexanes for 2ak-2am, 2ap-2au, 2an, 2ba-2ga) to get 2aa (179 mg, 86%) as a yellow oil.

2-Benzylbenzofuran<sup>11a</sup> (2aa). Yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.80$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.41–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.24 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.14 (m, 3H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.9, 155.1, 137.3, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 126.8, 123.5, 122.6, 120.5, 111.0, 103.4, 35.0.

2-Benzyl-6-methylbenzofuran (2ab). 2ab (0.190 g) was obtained from 1ab (0.238 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 85%; colorless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.76 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane);  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.35–7.28 (m, SH), 7.27–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.21 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.03–6.97 (m, 1H), 6.32 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.2, 155.5, 137.5, 133.6, 129.0, 128.7, 126.8, 126.3, 123.9, 120.0, 111.3, 103.3, 35.1, 21.7; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2924, 1405, 1384, 1155, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 223.1123, found 223.1129.

2-Benzyl-7-methylbenzofuran (2ac). 2ac (0.194 g) was obtained from 1ac (0.238 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 87%; colorless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.76 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane);  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.40–7.28 (m, 6H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 2.54 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.6, 154.1, 137.5, 129.0, 128.6, 124.5, 122.6, 121.2, 117.9, 103.7, 35.1, 15.1; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 1495, 1384, 1158, 954, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 223.1123, found 223.1131.

2-Benzyl-7-(tert-butyl)benzofuran (2ad). 2ad (0.209 g) was obtained from 1ad (0.280 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 79%; light yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.64$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.54 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.27 (m, 5H), 6.41 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 1.42 (s,

9H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.9, 153.3, 145.6, 137.5, 128.9, 128.6,128.6, 126.8, 121.3, 116.8, 110.3, 103.6, 35.1, 34.7, 32.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 1495, 1384, 1158, 954, 699 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DARTTOF) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{21}O$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 265.1592, found 265.1603.

2-Benzyl-5,7-di-tert-butylbenzofuran (2ae). 2ae (0.253 g) was obtained from 1ae (0.336 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 79%; colorless oil;  $R_f=0.61$  (SiO $_2$ , Hexane);  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  7.35–7.27 (m, SH), 7.27–7.20 (m, 1H), 7.18 (d, J=1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 4.11 (s, 2H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.35 (s, 9H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  156.9, 151.4, 145.3, 137.8, 133.6, 129.0, 128.6, 126.6, 118.1, 114.6, 103.2, 35.2, 34.9, 34.5, 32.0, 30.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2957, 1638, 1385, 1155, 1068, 668 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{29}O$  [M + H] $^+$  321.2218, found 321.2230.

2-Benzyl-5-chlorobenzofuran <sup>11a</sup> (2af). 2af (0.175 g) was obtained from 1af (0.258 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 72%; yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.82$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.42 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.27 (m, 6H), 7.16 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.6, 153.5, 136.9, 130.3, 129.0, 128.8, 128.2, 127.0, 123.7, 120.1, 111.9, 103.1, 35.1.

2-Benzyl-5-bromobenzofuran<sup>11a</sup> (2ag). 2ag (0.225 g) was obtained from 1ag (0.302 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 79%; yellow gum;  $R_f=0.82$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.58 (d, J=1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.27 (m, 7H), 6.32 (d, J=0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.4, 153.8, 136.9, 130.9, 129.0, 128.8, 127.0, 126.4, 123.2, 115.7, 112.4, 103.0, 35.0.

2-Benzyl-5,7-dichlorobenzofuran (2ah). 2ah (0.174 g) was obtained from 1ah (0.292 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 63%; yellow oil;  $R_f=0.83$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane);  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.29–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.17 (m, SH), 7.14 (d, J=1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 4.05 (s, 2H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.8, 149.5, 136.4, 131.3, 129.1, 128.9, 128.4, 127.2, 123.7, 118.8, 116.9, 103.8, 35.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2926, 2400, 1445, 1384, 1156, 848,668 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for  $\mathrm{C_{15}H_{10}Cl_2O^+}$  [M]+ 276.0109, found 276.0100.

2-Benzyl-5,7-dibromobenzofuran (2ai). 2ai (0.225 g) was obtained from 1ai (0.380 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 62%; light yellow oil;  $R_f=0.83$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.50 (dd, J=2.6, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.38–7.27 (m, SH), 6.31 (s, 1H), 4.13 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 160.6, 151.2, 136.4, 131.5, 129.1, 128.9, 127.2, 122.4, 115.8, 104.4, 103.8, 35.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3018, 2854, 1496, 1383, 1150, 847, 701, 499 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{10}Br_2O^+$  [M]<sup>+</sup> 363.9093, found 363.9087

2-Benzyl-7-bromo-5-chlorobenzofuran (2aj). 2aj (0.192 g) was obtained from 1aj (0.336 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 60%; light yellow oil;  $R_f=0.83$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane);  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.29–7.26 (m, 3H), 7.25–7.17 (m, 4H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 4.05 (s, 2H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.8, 150.9, 136.4, 130.9, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 127.2, 126.3, 119.4, 103.9, 35.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  1622, 1405, 1219, 1155, 771 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for C $_{15}\mathrm{H}_{10}\mathrm{BrClO}^+$  [M] $^+$  319.9604, found 319.9598. 2-Benzyl-5-methoxybenzofuran (2ak). 2ak (0.215 g) was

2-Benzyl-5-methoxybenzofuran<sup>17a</sup> (2ak). 2ak (0.215 g) was obtained from 1ak (0.254 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 90%; brown gum;  $R_f = 0.62$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes);  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37–7.21 (m, 6H), 6.94 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H) 4.08 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.8, 155.9, 150,1, 137.4, 129.5, 129.0, 128.7, 126.8, 111.9, 111.4, 103.6, 103.4, 56.0, 35.2.

2-Benzyl-6-methoxybenzofuran<sup>17a</sup> (2al). 2al (0.198 g) was obtained from 1al (0.254 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 83%; light brown gum;  $R_f = 0.62$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.39–7.25 (m, 6H), 7.00 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.5, 156.8, 156.0, 137.5, 128.9, 128.6, 126.8, 122.1, 120.5, 111.3, 103.1, 96.0, 55.8, 35.0.

2-Benzyl-7-ethoxybenzofuran (2am). 2am (0.210 g) was obtained from 1am (0.268 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 83%; light yellow gum;  $R_f=0.59$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexane);  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.39–7.25 (m, SH), 7.15–7.04 (m, 2H), 6.77 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (s, 1H), 4.28 (q, J=6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 1.53 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.2, 144.4, 137.3, 130.7, 129.1, 128.6, 126.8, 123.2, 112.8, 107.1, 103.8, 64.5, 35.0, 15.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2400, 1385, 1155, 929, 669 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for  $\mathrm{C_{17}H_{17}O_2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}\right]^+$  253.1229, found 253.1234.

2-Benzylbenzofuran-5-yl tert-Butylcarbamate (2an). 2an (0.223 g) was obtained from 1an (0.240 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 69%; light yellow gum;  $R_f = 0.46$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.35–7.24 (m, 6H), 7.20 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 4.96 (bs, 1H), 4.09 (s, 2H), 1.39 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.1, 152.4, 146.5, 137.2, 129.4, 128.9, 128.7, 126.9, 117.6, 113.4, 111.1, 103.8, 50.9, 35.1, 29.8; IR (KBr)  $\nu$  2926, 2400, 1740, 1384, 1162, 928 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 324.1600, found 324.1609.

2-Benzyl-5-nitrobenzofuran<sup>11a</sup> (2ao). 2ao (0.150 g) was obtained from 1ao (0.269 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 59%; light yellow gum;  $R_f = 0.52$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.39 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.24 (m, 5H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 4.14 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 161.6, 157.9, 144.2, 136.2, 129.3, 129.0, 128.9, 127.3, 119.6, 117.0, 111.3, 104.2, 35.1

2-Benzyl-5-phenylbenzofuran (2ap). 2ap (0.205 g) was obtained from 1ap (0.300 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 72%; white solid, mp 96–98 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.63 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.70(s, 1H), 7.65–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.43 (m, 4H), 7.40–7.33 (m, 5H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 2H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 4.16 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>+DMSO) δ 158.6, 154.7, 141.9, 137.2, 136.3, 129.4, 129.0, 128.8, 128.7, 127.5, 126.9, 126.8, 123.2, 119.1, 111.1, 103.7, 35.1; IR (KBr)  $\nu$  3019, 1406, 1385, 1155, 1068, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 285.1279, found 285.1289.

2-Benzyl-5-(4-chlorophenyl)benzofuran (2aq). 2aq (0.224 g) was obtained from 1aq (0.334 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 70%; light yellow solid, mp 99–101 °C;  $R_f = 0.65$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.55–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.37–7.25 (m, SH), 6.42 (s, 1H), 4.14 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.9, 154.8, 140.4, 137.2, 135.1, 133.0, 129.5, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.7, 126.9, 123.0, 119.0, 111.2, 103.6, 35.1; IR (KBr)  $\nu$  3019, 1622, 1385, 1155, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClO [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 319.0890, found 319.0883.

2-Benzyl-5-(4-ethylphenyl)benzofuran (2ar). 2ar (0.235 g) was obtained from 1ar (0.328 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 75%; white solid, mp 100–102 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.61 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76(s, 1H), 7.55–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.30–7.25 (m, 3H), 6.42 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (s, 2H), 2.71 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.5, 154.6, 142.9, 139.3, 137.3, 136.3, 129.4, 129.0, 128.7, 128.3, 127.4, 126.9, 123.1, 118.9, 111.0, 103.7, 35.2, 28.6, 15.7; IR (KBr)  $\nu$  3019, 1621, 1406, 1155, 699 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{21}O$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 313.1592, found 313.1607.

2-Benzylnaphtho[1,2-b]furan (2as). 2as (0.150 g) was obtained from 1as (0.274 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 58%; brown gum;  $R_f$  = 0.56 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.06–8.03 (m, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, J = 8.9, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 4H), 7.31–7.26 (m, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.2, 152.4, 137.5, 130.3, 129.0, 128.8, 127.6, 126.9, 126.1, 124.3, 124.3, 124.0, 123.5, 112.3, 102.6, 35.2; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2399, 1407, 1384, 1155, 928, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 259.1123, found 259.1127.

5-(2-Benzylbenzofuran-5-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole (2at). 2at (0.240 g) was obtained from 1as (0.344 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 73%; brown gum;  $R_f = 0.55$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.57 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.07–7.03 (m, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.99 (s, 2H) 4.12 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.6, 154.5, 148.1, 146.8, 137.2, 136.3, 136.1, 129.4, 129.0, 128.7, 126.9, 123.0, 120.9, 118.8, 111.0, 108.6, 108.1, 103.6, 101.2, 35.1; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 1621, 1505, 1405, 1384, 1155, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 329.1178, found 329.1192.

2-Benzyl-5-(6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)benzofuran (2au). 2au (0.242 g) was obtained from 1au (0.380 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 66%; white solid mp 109–111 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.51 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.95 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.70 (m, 4H), 7.58–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.44 (d, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.6, 157.7, 154.7, 137.3, 137.1, 136.4, 133.6, 129.7, 129.5, 129.3, 129.0, 128.7, 127.3, 126.9, 126.6, 125.8, 123.3, 119.2, 119.1, 111.2, 105.7, 55.4, 35.2; IR (KBr)  $\nu$  3019, 2926, 1405, 1155, 1068, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DARTTOF) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 365.1542, found 365.1557. 2-(4-Methylbenzyl)benzofuran <sup>17a</sup> (2ba). 2ba (0.189 g) was

2-(4-Methylbenzyl)benzofuran <sup>11a</sup> (2ba). 2ba (0.189 g) was obtained from 1ba (0.238 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 85%; colorless gum;  $R_f = 0.76$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.50–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.20–7.17 (m, 4H), 7.10–7.13 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.2, 155.0, 136.4, 134.2, 129.4, 128.9, 123.4, 122.6, 120.5, 111.0, 103.3, 34.7, 21.2.

2-(4-Butylbenzyl)benzofuran (2ca). 2ca (0.220 g) was obtained from 1ca (0.280 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 83%; colorless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.72 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane);  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.53–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.14 (m, 6H), 6.40 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (s, 2H), 2.64 (q, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.69–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.45–1.35 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.2, 155.1, 141.5, 134.5, 129.0, 128.8, 128.7, 123.4, 122.6, 120.5, 111.0, 103.3, 35.4, 34.7, 33.7, 22.5, 14.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2958, 2858, 1513, 1384, 1159, 1070, 954, 668 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (DARTTOF) calcd for  $C_{19}$ H<sub>21</sub>O [M + H] $^+$  265.1592, found 265.1604.

2-(4-(tert-Butyl)benzyl)benzofuran (2da). 2da (0.227 g) was obtained from 1da (0.280 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 86%; yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.64$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.53–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.16 (m, 4H), 6.41 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (s, 2H) 1.34 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.1, 155.1, 149.7, 134.3, 129.0, 128.6, 125.6, 123.4, 122.6, 120.5, 111.0, 103.0, 34.6, 31.5, 29.8; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2965, 1454, 1328, 1253, 1068, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 265.1592, found 265.1603.

2-(4-Methoxybenzyl)benzofuran<sup>11a</sup> (**2ea**). **2ea** (0.215 g) was obtained from **1ea** (0.254 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 90%; brown gum;  $R_f$  = 0.54 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.56–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.32–7.11 (m, 4H), 6.89 (dd, J = 7.2, 0.7 Hz, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.6, 158.4, 155.1, 130.0, 129.4, 128.9, 123.4, 122.6, 120.5, 114.1, 111.0, 103.2, 55.3, 34.2.

2-(3-Methoxybenzyl)benzofuran (2fa). 2fa (0.200 g) was obtained from 1fa (0.200 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 84%; colorless gum;  $R_f$  = 0.53 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.49–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.14 (m, 3H), 6.92–6.88 (m, 1H), 6.88–6.84 (m, 1H), 6.81 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.9, 157.7, 155.1, 138.9, 129.7, 128.9, 123.5, 122.6, 121.4, 120.5, 114.8, 112.2, 111.0, 103.5, 55.3, 35.1; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2927, 1489, 1455, 1155, 955, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DARTTOF) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 239.1072, found 239.1078.

2-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzyl)benzofuran (**2ga**). **2ga** (0.247 g) was obtained from **1ga** (0.284 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 92%; light yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.53$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes);  ${}^{1}$ H

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.51–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.88–6.80 (m, 3H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.2, 155.0, 149.1, 148.0, 129.8, 128.9, 123.5, 122.6, 121.1, 120.5, 112.3, 111.4, 111.0, 103.0, 56.0, 55.9, 34.7; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2927, 1638, 1514, 1216, 1154, 1026, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{17}O_3$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 269.1178, found 269.1189.

2-(4-Fluorobenzyl)benzofuran<sup>11a</sup> (2ha). 2ha (0.168 g) was obtained from 1ha (0.242 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 74%; colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.77$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.51–7.46 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.05–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.9 (d, J = 2.44 Hz), 157.6, 155.1, 133.0, 130.5 (d, J = 8 Hz), 128.8, 123.6, 122.7, 120.6, 115.5 (d, J = 2.1 Hz), 111.0, 103.5, 34.3. 2-(3-Chlorobenzyl)benzofuran (2ia). <sup>11a</sup> 2ia (0.167 g) was

2-(3-Chlorobenzyl)benzofuran (2ia). <sup>11a</sup> 2ia (0.167 g) was obtained from 1ia (0.258 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 69%; colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.81$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.52–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.27–7.18 (m, 5H), 6.42 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  156.8, 155.2, 139.4, 134.6, 129.9, 129.1, 128.8, 127.1, 123.8, 122.8, 120.6, 111.1, 103.8, 34.7.

2-(Thiophen-2-ylmethyl)benzofuran (2ja). 2ja (0.175 g) was obtained from 1ja (0.230 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 82%; brown oil;  $R_f$  = 0.63 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.53–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.01–6.93 (m, 2H), 6.48 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 156.8, 155.0, 139.3, 128.8, 127.0, 126.1, 124.5, 123.7, 122.7, 120.7, 111.1, 103.4, 29.3; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2924, 1780, 1301, 1073, 952,850, 699 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>11</sub>OS [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 215.0531, found 215.0525.

 $C_{13}H_{11}OS [M + H]^+ 215.0531$ , found 215.0525. 2-Butylbenzofuran<sup>71b</sup> (**2ka**). **2ka** (0.133 g) was obtained from **1ka** (0.190 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 76%; light brown oil;  $R_f = 0.85$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.50–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.42–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.78–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.38 (m, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.9, 154.7, 129.1, 123.1, 122.4, 120.2, 110.8, 101.8, 29.9, 28.2, 22.4, 13.9

2-Heptylbenzofuran<sup>11b</sup> (2la). 2la (0.169 g) was obtained from 1la (0.232 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 78%; colorless oil,  $R_f = 0.84$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.49–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.80–2.73 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.45–1.27 (m, 8H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.9, 154.7, 129.1, 123.1, 122.4, 120.2, 110.8, 101.8, 31.9, 29.3, 29.1, 28.6, 27.8, 22.7, 14.2.

2-Undecylbenzofuran (2ma). 2ma (0.204 g) was obtained from 1ma (0.288 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 75%; yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.81$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane);  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.05–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.81–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.41–1.20 (m, 16H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.9, 154.7, 129.1, 123.1, 122.4, 120.2, 110.8, 101.8, 32.0, 29.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 28.6, 27.8, 22.8, 14.2; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2854, 2400, 1454, 1156, 1069, 669 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O [M + H] $^+$  273.2218, found 273.2209.

2-(Cyclopentylmethyl)benzofuran <sup>11c</sup> (2na). 2na (0.166 g) was obtained from 1na (0.216 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 83%; light yellow gum  $R_f = 0.72$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.50–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.23–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.39–2.23 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.61 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.31–1.25 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.5, 154.7, 129.1, 123.1, 122.4, 120.2, 110.8, 102.3, 38.7, 34.6, 32.6, 25.2.

2-(Cyclohexylmethyl)benzofuran (20a). 20a (0.182 g) was obtained from 20a (0.230 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure A. Yield 85%; yellow gum;  $R_f = 0.82$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.51–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.23–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.82–1.63

(m, 4H), 1.31–1.10 (m, 4H), 1.08–0.94 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.6, 154.8, 129.1, 123.1, 122.4, 120.2, 110.8, 102.9, 37.1, 36.4, 33.3, 26.5, 26.3; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2853, 1454, 1254, 1157, 948, 929, 669 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for  $\mathrm{C_{15}H_{19}O}$  [M + H] $^+$  215.1436, found 215.1429.

(*Z*)-2-Benzylidene-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-ol<sup>4f</sup> (*3*). Yield 76%; white solid, mp 109–110 °C;  $R_f = 0.47$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.72 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.13–7.05 (m, 2H), 6.01 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.8, 157.1, 134.6, 130.7, 128.8, 128.5, 127.0, 126.9, 125.7, 123.0, 110.7, 106.1, 72.6.

General Procedure B for the Synthesis of 2-(Azido(phenyl)-methyl)benzofurans (4a-f) from 2-Benzyl Benzofurans (2aa, 2da, 2ga, 2ap, 2af, 2ak) Taking Synthesis of 4a as an Example. To a stirred solution of 2-benzyl benzofuran (208 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv) in 4 mL of AcOH were added DDQ (454 mg, 2 mmol, 2 equiv) and TMSN<sub>3</sub> (172.5 mg, 1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until complete conversion of starting material (2 h for 4a, 4d-f; 1 h for 4b-c). The reaction mixture was neutralized with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 g) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 15 mL). The combined extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified on silica using 5% EtOAc/hexane to get 4a (214 mg, 86%) as a yellow oil.

2-(Azido(phenyl)methyl)benzofuran (4a).  $R_f=0.60~({\rm SiO_2},~2\%~{\rm EtOAc/Hexanes}); ^1{\rm H}~{\rm NMR}~(400~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})~\delta~7.58-7.49~({\rm m},~1{\rm H}),~7.49-7.32~({\rm m},~6{\rm H}),~7.32-7.16~({\rm m},~2{\rm H}),~6.59~({\rm s},~1{\rm H}),~5.77~({\rm s},~1{\rm H}); ^{13}{\rm C}~{\rm NMR}~(100~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})~\delta~155.4,~154.9,~136.3,~129.0,~127.8,~127.7,~124.8,~123.1,~121.3,~111.5,~105.6,~62.7;~IR~({\rm neat})~\nu~3019,~2102,~1385,~1069,~668~{\rm cm}^{-1};~{\rm HRMS}~({\rm ESI-TOF})~{\rm calcd}~{\rm for}~{\rm C}_{15}{\rm H}_{12}{\rm NO}~[{\rm M}+{\rm H}-{\rm N}_2]^+~222.0919,~{\rm found}~222.0911.$ 

2-(Azido(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)methyl)benzofuran (4b). 4b (0.232 g) was obtained from 2da (0.264 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure B. Yield 76%; yellow gum;  $R_f=0.5$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.56 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.43 (m, 3H), 7.43–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.20 (m, 2H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 5.78 (s, 1H), 1.36 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 155.4, 155.1, 152.0, 133.3, 129.8, 127.9, 125.9, 124.7, 123.1, 121.3, 111.5, 105.5, 62.5, 34.8, 31.4; IR (neat) ν 1644,1217, 1069, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{20}NO$  [M + H −  $N_2$ ]+ 278.1545, found 278.1535.

2-(Azido(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methyl)benzofuran (4c). 4c (0.231 g) was obtained from 2ga (0.268 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure B. Yield 75%; yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.45$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.62–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.05–6.95 (m, 2H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 155.3, 155.1, 149.6, 149.4, 128.7, 127.8, 124.7, 123.1, 121.3, 120.3, 111.5, 111.2, 110.7, 105.3, 62.6, 56.0, 56.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 2102, 1730, 1645, 1156, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{16}NO_3$  [M + H  $-N_2$ ]<sup>+</sup> 282.1130, found 282.1134.

2-(Azido(phenyl)methyl)-5-phenylbenzofuran (4d). 4d (0.276 g) was obtained from 2ap (0.284 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure B. Yield 85%; white solid mp 97–99 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.5 (SiO $_2$ , 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_3$ ) δ 7.76–7.72 (m, 1H), 7.64–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.32 (m, 8H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 5.81 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl $_3$ ) δ 155.6, 155.0, 141.5, 136.9, 136.3, 129.0, 128.8, 128.3, 127.7, 127.5, 127.0, 124.5, 119.8, 111.6, 105.8, 62.7; IR (neat) ν 1644, 1385, 1218, 1070, 668 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C $_{21}$ H $_{16}$ NO [M + H – N $_2$ ] $^+$  298.1232, found 298.1231.

2-(Azido(phenyl)methyl)-5-chlorobenzofuran (4e). 4e (0.226 g) was obtained from 2af (0.242 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure B. Yield 80%; yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.6$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.53–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.40 (m, 5H), 7.39–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.24 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H); 6.56 (s, 1H), 5.77 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 156.6, 153.7, 136.0, 129.1,

129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 127.7, 125.0, 112.5, 105.1, 62.6; IR (neat)  $\nu$  1644, 1385, 1219, 1075, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{11}ClNO$  [M + H -  $N_2$ ]<sup>+</sup> 256.0529, found 256.0513.

2-(Azido(phenyl)methyl)-5-methoxybenzofuran (4f). 4f (0.218 g) was obtained from 2ak (0.238 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure B. Yield 78%; yellow oil;  $R_f$  = 0.6 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 10% EtOAc/Hexanes);  $^1$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.46–7.37 (m, 5H), 7.36–7.31 (m, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 156.2, 155.7, 150.4, 136.4, 129.0, 128.9, 128.3, 127.7, 113.5, 112.0, 105.7, 103.8, 62.7, 56.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 2013, 1638, 1385, 1070, 668 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> [M + H - N<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> 252.1025, found 252.1017.

General Procedure C for the Synthesis of 2-Alkoxy Benzofurans (5a-f) from 2-Benzyl Benzofurans (2aa) Taking Synthesis of 5a as an Example. To a stirred solution of 2aa (208 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv) in 4 mL of ROH:CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1:1) was added DDQ (454 mg, 2 mmol, 2 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until complete conversion of starting material, monitored by TLC. (8 h for 5a-b; 12 h for 5c-d; 20 h for 5e-5f). The reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> ( $2 \times 15$  mL). The combined extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified on silica using 2%-5% EtOAc/hexanes for (5a-5f) to get 5a (167 mg, 70%) as a yellow oil.

2-(Methoxy(phenyl)methyl)benzofuran (**5a**). Yellow oil;  $R_f$  = 0.62 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.53–7.43 (m, 4H), 7.42–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.27–7.16 (m, 2H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 3.47 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.1, 155.3, 138.6, 128.6, 128.4, 128.1, 127.4, 124.3, 122.8, 121.1, 111.5, 105.1, 79.6, 51.4; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 1638, 1385, 1154, 1069, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{11}O$  [M – OCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> 207.0810 found 207.0805.

2-(Ethoxy(phenyl)methyl)benzofuran (5b). Sb (0.187 g) was obtained from 2aa (208 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure C. Yield 74%; colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.64$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes);  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.52–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.46–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.31 (m, 3H), 7.24–7.16 (m, 2H), 6.54 (t, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 3.71–3.58 (m, 2H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.6, 155.3, 139.2, 128.6, 128.2, 128.1, 127.3, 124.2, 122.8, 121.1, 111.5, 104.8, 77.7, 65.1, 15.4; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2400, 1385, 1155, 928, 669 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O [M – OC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>] $^+$  207.0810 found 207.0806.

2-(Phenyl(propoxy)methyl)benzofuran (5c). Sc (0.189 g) was obtained from 2aa (0.208 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure C. Yield 71%; yellow gum;  $R_f = 0.68$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.53–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.47–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.27–7.17 (m, 2H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 5.51 (s, 1H), 3.60–3.68 (m, 2H), 1.76–1.66 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.7, 155.3, 139.3, 128.5, 128.2, 127.3, 124.2, 122.7, 121.1, 111.5, 104.7, 77.8, 71.4, 23.1, 10.7; IR (neat)  $\nu$  1639, 1385, 1154, 1069, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{11}O$  [M –  $OC_3H_7$ ]<sup>+</sup> 207.0810 found 207.0809.

2-(Butoxy(phenyl)methyl)benzofuran (5d). Sd (0.205 g) was obtained from 2aa (0.208 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure C. Yield 73%; colorless gum;  $R_f = 0.69$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.53–7.42 (m, 4H), 7.41–7.29 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 5.50 (s, 1H), 3.63–3.51 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.48–1.38 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.7, 155.3, 139.3, 128.5, 128.2, 127.3, 124.2, 122.7, 121.1, 111.5, 104.7, 77.9, 69.6, 32.0, 19.5, 14.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 1638, 1385, 1154, 1068, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (DARTTOF) calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O [M – OC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>]<sup>+</sup> 207.0810 found 207.0804.

2-(Phenoxy(phenyl)methyl)benzofuran (5e). Se (0.177 g) was obtained from 2aa (0.208 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure C. Yield S9%; brown gum;  $R_f = 0.46$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.60–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.16 (m, 4H), 7.05–6.99 (m, 2H), 6.95 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.9, 156.3, 155.3, 138.2, 129.6, 128.8, 128.6, 128.1, 127.2, 124.5, 122.9, 121.7, 121.3, 116.3, 111.6, 105.4, 76.3; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2924, 1638, 1216, 1154, 1068, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{11}O$  [M - OC<sub>6</sub> $H_{5}$ ]<sup>+</sup> 207.0810 found 207.0806.

2-(Phenyl(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)methyl)benzofuran (5f). Sf (0.160 g) was obtained from 2aa (0.208 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure C. Yield 61%; colorless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.54 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc/Hexanes);  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.54–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.47–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.42–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.17 (m, 2H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 4.31–4.19 (m, 2H), 2.49 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 156.2, 155.4, 137.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.0, 127.7, 124.5, 122.9, 121.2, 111.6, 105.7, 79.3, 75.9, 75.3, 56.2; IR (neat)  $\nu$ 3019, 1639, 1385, 1154, 669 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (DART-TOF) calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O [M – OC<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>] $^+$  207.0810 found 207.0808.

Procedure for the Synthesis of Benzofuran-2-yl(phenyl)methanol (6). <sup>12</sup> To a stirred solution of 2-benzyl benzofuran (208 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv) in 3 mL of Ac<sub>2</sub>OH:AcOH (1:1) was added DDQ (454 mg, 2 mmol, 2 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until complete conversion of starting material (18 h). The reaction mixture was neutralized with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 g) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 15 mL). The combined extracts were dried over Na2SO4. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified on silica using 5% EtOAc/hexane to get 6 (159 mg, 71%) as a yellow oil.  $R_f = 0.46 \text{ (SiO}_2, 5\% \text{ EtOAc/Hexanes)}; {}^1\text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta$ 7.53-7.48 (m, 3H), 7.46-7.34 (m, 4H), 7.28-7.17 (m, 2H), 6.53 (t, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.96 (s, 1H), 2.54 (bs, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  158.6, 155.2, 140.4, 128.7, 128.5, 128.1, 126.9, 124.4, 122.9, 121.2, 111.4, 104.1, 70.8; IR (neat) ν 3400, 1638, 1385, 1217, 1154,  $668 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 

Procedure for the Synthesis of 3-(Benzofuran-2-yl(phenyl)methyl)-2-benzyl Benzofuran (7). To a stirred solution of 2-benzyl benzofuran (2aa) (104 mg, 0.5 mmol, 0.5 equiv) in 2 mL of AcOH was added DDQ (227 mg, 1 mmol, 2 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until complete conversion of starting material monitored by TLC (20 h). The reaction mixture was neutralized with Na2CO3 (1 g) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water (10 mL) and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 10 mL). The combined extracts were dried over Na2SO4. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified on silica using 5% EtOAc/ hexane to get 7 (84 mg, 82%) as a yellow gum.  $R_f = 0.62$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.48-7.44 (m, 1H), 7.41-7.36 (m, 2H), 7.31-7.24 (m, 5H), 7.23-7.13 (m, 9H), 7.07-7.02 (m, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H), 5.79 (s, 1H), 4.04 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.4, 155.1, 154.4, 153.8, 139.8, 137.4, 128.7, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 127.3, 126.7, 123.9, 123.7, 122.8, 122.5, 120.8, 120.5, 115.1, 111.3, 111.1, 105.6, 41.7, 33.0; IR (neat) ν 3019, 1639, 1385, 1155, 1068, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for  $C_{30}H_{23}O_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 415.1698, found 415.1689.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Benzofuran-2-yl(phenyl)-methanone (8). <sup>13</sup> To 2-benzyl benzofuran (104 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv) in 2 mL of DCE was added MnO<sub>2</sub> (130 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 2 h. The reaction mass was filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100–200 mesh) with 5%EtOAc/Hexane as the eluent to give the pure product (83 mg, 74%) as a white solid. mp 90–92 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.51 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.09–8.01 (m, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.46 (m, 4H), 7.37–7.30 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 184.5, 156.1, 152.3, 137.3, 133.0, 129.5, 128.6, 128.5, 127.1, 124.1, 123.4, 116.6, 112.7.

Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Benzyl-3-bromobenzofuran (9). To a stirred solution of 2-benzyl benzofuran (2aa) (208 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv) in 5 mL of  $CCl_4$  was added N-bromo succinimide (266.8 mg, 1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C until complete conversion of starting material, monitored by TLC (12 h). The reaction mixture was diluted with

water (20 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 15 mL). The combined extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified on silica using 2% EtOAc/hexane to get 9 (194 mg, 68%) as a brown oil.  $R_f = 0.68$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.53–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.37 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.22 (m, 7H), 4.19 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  153.8, 153.8, 136.7, 128.8, 128.3, 126.9, 124.9, 123.3, 119.5, 111.4, 95.2, 33.0; IR (neat)  $\nu$  2926, 1405, 1216, 1155, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (APCI-FTMS) calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>11</sub>BrO<sup>+</sup> [M]<sup>+</sup> 285.9988, found 285.9987.

General Procedure D for the Synthesis of 5-(Benzofuran-2-yl)-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazoles (10a–10b) from (2aa, 2ea) Taking Synthesis of 10a as an Example. To a stirred solution of 2-benzyl benzofuran (208 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv) in 4 mL of CH<sub>3</sub>CN were added CuI (19 mg, 0.1 mmol, 0.1 equiv), DDQ (454 mg, 2 mmol, 2 equiv), TMSN<sub>3</sub> (632 mg, 5.5 mmol, 5.5 equiv), and 4Å MS (500 mg) at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C until complete conversion of starting material, monitored by TLC (12 h). The reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2 × 15 mL). The combined extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified on silica using 20% EtOAc/hexane to get 10a (218 mg, 83%) as a brown gum.

5-(Benzofuran-2-yl)-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole (10a).  $R_f=0.5~(SiO_2)$  20% EtOAc/Hexanes);  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  7.72–7.58 (m, 4H), 7.58–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.42–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  155.6, 147.0, 140.3, 134.4, 131.1, 129.9, 127.2, 127.1, 125.9, 124.2, 122.4, 112.1, 111.4; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 1645, 1069, 909, 669 cm $^{-1}$ . HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{11}N_4O~[M+H]^+$  263.0933, found 263.0934.

5-(Benzofuran-2-yl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-tetrazole (10b). 10b (0.160 g) was obtained from 2ea (0.238 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure D. Yield 55%; yellow gum; Rf = 0.46 (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 20% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.60 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.34 (m, 4H), 7.34–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.18–6.98 (m, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 161.5, 155.6, 147.2, 140.2, 136.8, 127.4, 127.2, 127.1, 124.1, 122.3, 115.0, 112.1, 111.1, 55.8; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3019, 1644, 1385, 1069, 909, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESITOF) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 293.1039, found 293.1031.

General Procedure E for the Synthesis of *N*-Phenylbenzofuran-2-carboxamides (11b–c) from (2ea, 2ga) Taking 11b as an Example. To a stirred solution of 2-(4-methoxybenzyl)benzofuran (238 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv) in 4 mL of AcOH were added FeCl<sub>2</sub> (12.5 mg, 0.1 mmol, 0.1 equiv), DDQ (454 mg, 2 mmol, 2 equiv), TMSN<sub>3</sub>(230 mg, 2 mmol, 2 equiv), and H<sub>2</sub>O (36 mg, 2 mmol, 2 equiv) at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C until complete conversion of starting material (12 h). The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, neutralized with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 g), and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 15 mL). The combined extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified on silica using 8% EtOAc/hexane to get 11b (160 mg, 60%) as a yellow solid.

*N-*(*4-Methoxyphenyl*)*benzofuran-2-carboxamide* (*11b*). mp 118–120 °C;  $R_f=0.50$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 20% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.25 (bs, 1H), 7.73–7.67 (m, 1H), 7.65–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.28 (m, 1H), 6.95–6.89 (m, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  156.9, 156.6, 154.9, 148.7, 130.4, 127.8, 127.2, 123.9, 122.9, 121.9, 114.4, 111.8, 111.2, 55.6; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3400, 1644, 1070, 772 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 268.0974, found 268.0968.

*N*-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)benzofuran-2-carboxamide (11c). 11c (0.210 g) was obtained from 2ga (0.268 g, 1 mmol) following general procedure E. Yield 71%; light yellow gum;  $R_f = 0.40$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>,20% EtOAc/Hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.29 (bs, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.49–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.09 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H),

3.93 (s, 3H), 3.89 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  156.6, 154.9, 149.3, 148.7, 146.4, 130.9, 127.8, 127.3, 124.0, 112.1, 111.9, 111.5, 111.3, 105.0, 56.2, 56.1; IR (neat)  $\nu$  3399, 1645, 1385, 104569, 669 cm $^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for  $\mathrm{C_{17}H_{16}NO_4}$  [M + H] $^+$  298.1079, found 298.1071.

### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02204.

Spectroscopic data of all the products (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

# **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: msreddy@cdri.res.in.

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.R. and N.T. thank CSIR for the fellowships. We thank SAIF Division, CSIR-CDRI, for the analytical support. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support by DST (SB/FT/CS-102/2012) and CSIR (THUNDER, BSC 0102). CDRI Communication No: 9112.

## REFERENCES

(1) (a) Zeng, X. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 6864–6900. (b) Godoi, B.; Schumacher, R. F.; Zeni, G. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 2937–2980. (c) Das, A.; Sohel, S. M. A.; Liu, R.-S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 960–979. (d) Kruger, K.; Tillack, A.; Beller, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2153–2167. (e) Loh, C. C. J.; Enders, D. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 10212–10225. (f) Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 1–35. (g) Fang, G.; Bi, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 8124–8173. (h) Shu, X.-Z.; Shu, D.; Schienebeck, C. M.; Tang, W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 7698–7711. (i) Hashmi, A. S. K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3180–3211.

(2) (a) Qiu, G.; Ding, Q.; Wu, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5257–5269. (b) Vlaar, T.; Ruijter, E.; Maes, B. U. W.; Orru, R. V. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7084–7097. (c) Senadi, G. C.; Hu, W.-P.; Lu, T.-Y.; Garkhedkar, A. M.; Vandavasi, J. K.; Wang, J.-J. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1521–1524. (d) Jiang, H.; Yin, M.; Li, Y.; Liu, B.; Zhao, J.; Wu, W. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2037–2039. (e) Hu, Z.; Liang, D.; Zhao, J.; Huang, J.; Zhu, Q. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 7371–7373. (f) Li, Y.; Zhao, J.; Chen, H.; Liu, B.; Jiang, H. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 3545–3547. (g) Qiu, G.; Liu, G.; Pu, S.; Wu, J. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2903–2905. (h) Gu, Z.-Y.; Zhu, T.-H.; Cao, J.-J.; Xu, X.-P.; Wang, S.-Y.; Ji, S.-J. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 49–52. (i) Nanjo, T.; Tsukano, C.; Takemoto, Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4270–4273.

(3) (a) Babu, M. H.; Dwivedi, V.; Kant, R.; Reddy, M. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3783–3786. (b) Kumar, Y. K.; Kumar, G. R.; Reddy, T. J.; Sridhar, B.; Reddy, M. S. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2226–2229. (c) Thirupathi, N.; Babu, M. H.; Dwivedi, V.; Kant, R.; Reddy, M. S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2908–2911. (d) Kumar, Y. K.; Kumar, G. R.; Reddy, M. S. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 823–828. (e) Puri, S.; Thirupathi, N.; Reddy, M. S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5246–5249. (f) Thirupathi, N.; Kumar, Y. K.; Kant, R.; Reddy, M. S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1823–1834. (g) Reddy, M. S.; Thirupathi, N.; Babu, M. H.; Puri, S. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5878–5888. (h) Reddy, M. S.; Kumar, Y. K.; Thirupathi, N. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 824–827.

(4) (a) Reddy, C. R.; Krishna, G.; Kavitha, N.; Latha, B.; Shin, D.-S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 5381–5388. (b) Pflieger, D.; Muckensturn, B. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 2031–2040. (c) Gabriele, B.; Mancuso, R.; Salerno, G.; Veltri, L. Chem. Commun. 2005, 271–273. (d) Liu, J.; Liu, Z.; Liao, P.; Bi, X. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 6204–6207. (e) Haven, T.; Kubik, G.; Haubenreisser, S.; Niggemann, M. Angew.

Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4016-4019. (f) Harkat, H.; Blanc, A.; Weibel, J.-M.; Pale, P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 1620-1623.

- (5) (a) Khanam, H.; Shamsuzzaman. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 97, 483–504. (b) Salome, C.; Narbonne, V.; Ribeiro, N.; Thuaud, F.; Serova, M.; de Gramont, A.; Faivre, S.; Raymond, E.; Desaubry, L. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 74, 41–49. (c) Marion, F.; Williams, D. E.; Patrick, B. O.; Hollander, I.; Mallon, R.; Kim, S. C.; Roll, D. M.; Feldberg, L.; Van Soest, R.; Andersen, R. J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 321–324. (d) Basaria, S.; Cooper, D. S. Am. J. Med. 2005, 118, 706–714. (e) Shirota, O.; Pathak, V.; Sekita, S.; Satake, M.; Nagashima, Y.; Hirayama, Y.; Hakamata, Y.; Hayashi, T. J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 1128–1131. (f) Rizzo, S.; Riviere, C.; Piazzi, L.; Bisi, A.; Gobbi, S.; Bartolini, M.; Andrisano, V.; Morroni, F.; Tarozzi, A.; Monti, J.-P.; Rampa, A. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 2883–2886. (g) Dat, N. T.; Jin, X.; Lee, K.; Hong, Y.-S.; Kim, Y. H.; Lee, J. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 39–43.
- (6) (a) Sharma, A.; Hartwig, J. F. Nature 2015, 517, 600-604.
  (b) Huang, X.; Bergsten, T. M.; Groves, J. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 5300-5303.
- (7) Li, Y.; Bao, W. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 865-868.
- (8) (a) Dick, A. R.; Hull, K. L.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2300–2301. (b) Giri, R.; Liang, J.; Lei, J.-G.; Li, J.-J.; Wang, D.-H.; Chen, X.; Naggar, I. C.; Guo, C.; Foxman, B. M.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7420–7424. (c) Zhang, S.-Y.; He, G.; Zhao, Y.; Wright, K.; Nack, W. A.; Chen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7313–7316. (d) Bhadra, S.; Matheis, C.; Katayev, D.; Gooßen, L. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9279–9283. (e) Krylov, I. B.; Vil', V. A.; Terent'ev, A. O. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2015, 11, 92–146.
- (9) (a) Chen, F.; Qin, C.; Cui, Y.; Jiao, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 11487–11491. (b) Qin, C.; Zhou, W.; Chen, F.; Ou, Y.; Jiao, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 12595–12599.
- (10) (a) Zhang, S.; Wan, C.; Wanng, Q.; Zhang, B.; Gao, L.; Zha, Z.; Wang, Z. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 2080–2083. (b) Saha, S.; Schneider, C. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 648–651.
- (11) (a) Zhou, L.; Shi, Y.; Xiao, Q.; Liu, Y.; Ye, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 968–971. (b) Pei, T.; Chen, C.-y.; Dimichele, L.; Davies, L. W. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4972–4975. (c) Zhou, R.; Wang, W.; Jiang, Z.-J.; Wang, K.; Zheng, X.-L.; Fu, H.-Y.; Chen, H.; Li, R.-X. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 6023–6026.
- (12) Kuriyama, M.; Shimazawa, R.; Shirai, R. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 1597–1600.
- (13) Carrer, A.; Brinet, D.; Florent, J.-C.; Rousselle, P.; Bertounesque, E. J. Org. Chem. **2012**, *77*, 1316–1327.